

## GUIDELINES FOR OBJECTIVE REVIEW OF MANUSCRIPTS

### SCORE GUIDE (To be used by the Referee/Reviewer)

Not Given/Not Relevant	0
Below Standard	1
Average	2
Satisfactory	3
Good	4
Very Good	5

Manuscript Reg. No.....

Reviewer's Name.....

Part No.	<i>Description of the Part of Manuscript</i>	Score
1.	<b>TITLE</b> 1. Accurate 2. Effective 3. Represents Main Message of Paper	
2.	<b>ABSTRACT</b> 1. Size of abstract 2. Summary of Introduction 3. Study Design 4. Material and Methods 5. Results 6. Discussion 7. Conclusion	
3.	<b>DIFFICULT WORDS/KEY WORDS IF RELEVANT</b>	

4. **INTRODUCTION**
1. If start Relevant
  2. Subject of Paper Made Clear
  3. Importance of Study Made Clear
  4. Reasons for the Study Stated
  5. Significant finding of the Study Stated
  6. International Literature of past 10 years Recorded.
  7. National Literature of past 10 years Recorded
  8. Ancient Literature Reviewed and Recorded
  9. References appropriate for Discussion not given in Introduction

<b>Part No.</b>	<b><i>Description of the Part of Manuscript</i></b>	<b>Score</b>
-----------------	---	--------------

5. **AIMS AND OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY**

Are clearly Stated  
Are addressed in the study

6. **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

1. Population of uniform standard
2. Sample selection criteria reliable
3. Inclusion/Exclusion criteria specified
4. Control within the study or literature given
5. Important Variable Measurement Criteria specified..
6. Criteria to measure outcome relevant
7. Investigation/Procedure/Technique clearly given

7. **RESULTS AND ANALYSIS OF RESULTS**

1. Presentation of results clear
2. Data satisfies objectives of the study
3. All subjects/Variable in the study accounted for..
4. Results reported the drop out (if present)
5. Results presented in Free
6. Results presented with Frequency Distribution
7. Results presented with standard deviation
8. Appropriate statistical tests applied
9. Data Analysis correctly interpreted
10. Level of significance (preferred less than 0.1%)..

8. **DISCUSSION**

1. Important findings and results discussed
2. New emerging findings discussed
- 3. Results of the study discussed with others in literature
4. Questions raised in the study
5. Results and analysis leads to firm conclusions

9. **CONCLUSIONS**

1. Conclusions justified by the results/findings
2. Conclusions relevant to the aims and objectives of the study..
3. Conclusions based on critical argument

**10. WRITTEN ENGLISH**

1. Spellings
2. Grammar

**REPORT** (State clearly approved-advised revision rejected)

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Reviewer Signature.....

Date.....